

Curriculum Committee

Minutes

Date: August 29, 2023
Meeting Room: L113
Zoom ID for Guests 830 4407 2299
1:00 pm - 3:00 pm

1.	Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:01PM by Sarah Barsness, Chair.
2.	Roll Call	Alison Bolton attended virtually and was not voting today. Saki Cabrera was excused for jury duty. James Word arrived at 2:15PM after teaching class. Roll call was as follows:

Committee Officials (Non-voting)
⊠ Sarah BarsnessChairperson
☐ David WilliamsVP of Academic Affairs
★ *Lisa AbbottCurriculum Analys
☐ Claire GoverMeeting Secretary
Additional SS and AA Expertise (Non-voting)
⊠ *John PerezDirector, Distance Education
Guests
Josh Scott

Committee Members (Voting)
Amy DauffenbachCounselling
Ashlie LawsonArticulation Officer
☐ Douglas "Doug" GreenApplied Technology & Business
☐ Erin DuaneLibrary
☐ Ginger CainHealth Sciences
☐ ☑ Guy BrookshireLiberal Arts
☐ ☑ James WordMath & Science
☐ ☑ Jose CortesDean
☐ Marivic MacalinoHealth Sciences
☐ Saki Cabrera (excused)Social & Behavioral Sciences
☐ Sheila Hudson Dean
☐ ☐ Teri YumaeLiberal Arts
☐ VacantMath & Science
☐ VacantSocial & Behavioral Sciences
*ATTENDED VIRTUALLY

3. Approval of Minutes

Approval of Minutes from: May 16, 2023						
Motion:	Amy Dauffenbach					
Seconded by:	Ginger Cain					
Comments/Discussion/						
Revisions:						
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	7	Noes:	0	Abstentions:	3
Motion Passed:	Yes: ⊠		No: □			

4. Agenda Approval

Approval of the agenda will enact all matters listed under the Consent Items which are considered							
routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.							
Motion:	Amy Dauffenbach						
Seconded by:	Ginger Cain						
Comments/Discussion/							
Revisions:							
Final Resolution:	Yeses:	10	Noes:		Abstentions:		
Motion Passed:	Yes: ⊠		No: □				

5. Consent Items

Approval of the agenda will enact all matters listed under the Consent Items which are considered routine unless removed from the Consent Items by a Committee member.

6a. General

Curriculum Committee Calendar AY24. September 5 changed from workshop to Tech Review. September 12 changed from workshop to Curriculum Committee Meeting. Added an extended submission deadline of Oct 16 for Health Sciences who are not ready to submit in September.

6b. Workload/Class Max				
Course	Rationale:	Change		

6.	Comments
	from the
	Public

T	hree mi	inutes	are al	lotted	per	person	* 3

No comments from Public.

7. Information Items

No Action Required

- a. Training topics for Curriculum Workshops (not discussed)
- b. Curriculum Committee Training. Josh Scott, Academic Senate President presented a training on the structure and purpose of the committee and responsibilities of its members. See Curriculum Committee Basics and Resources for training materials.

I. Report from Articulation Officer, Ashlie Lawson

<u>AB1111</u> requires a common course numbering (CCN) system across all community colleges in the state by July 2024 with the purpose of streamlining transfer to 4-yr colleges. A draft AB1111 came out, but it is not very clear. Certain elements of the course must be same, yet outcomes can be different. It is very complex and much work is needed for implementation. CCN is not a requirement for UCs and CSUs.

Other report: Over the Summer, Ashlie identified 22 new UC transferable courses. She is also working on requirements of AB928 which is the singular GE Pathway to either the CSU or UC system.

II. Report from Assessment Coordinator, Andrew Wesley There were no items under this section. Sarah informed the group that Andrew is a member of Technical Review and may attend Curriculum Committee meetings on occasion.

III. Report from Curriculum Analyst, Lisa Abbott There were no items under this section.

IV. Report from VP of Academic Affairs, David Williams <u>OCED options.</u> Deb Berrett retired and we do not have no faculty for OCED. This position will have to go through the faculty prioritization process which takes another year for the position to be filed if it is prioritized.

David advises the Curriculum Committee consider conducting an impact study to look at the number of programs impacted and the students who would be disadvantaged if we continue to make OCED a requirement.

Faculty would have to decide if there are options for substitutions. OCED can stay as an option in certificates as long as there are other ways to complete the certificate. Elementary Education has an OCED component that could be converted to an Elementary Education class (like CDFS has their internships in CDFS).

The minimum requirement for OCED is a discipline faculty. If a department or student needs an OCED component, assigning a discipline faculty is a more complicated approach, but can be done.

As follow up, Lisa Abbot will pull impact report from eLumen. The committee will reach out first to areas that are affected so they can discuss how they would like to proceed.

<u>DEI in Performance Reviews and Curriculum.</u> Last year regulatory change was passed regarding DEI in performance reviews and DEI in curriculum. This allowed supervisors and employees to celebrate the work they are doing on equity and to encourage work on equity. There was to be no fiscal impact; however, a lawsuit has been filed by the State Center Community College District (Reedley, Madera) claiming the regulation is forcing an equity ideology on faculty. Nevertheless, David is dedicated to ensuring SCC has equitable courses for students, that we are celebrating diversity and that our employees, staff and managers reflect our student population.

<u>Working Learner Workgroup.</u> David is excited to be part of the Working Learner Workgroup, a statewide workgroup that is discussing how to bring working adult learners into the community college system. This is important because the number of high school graduates is declining across the country and that has been our main student base.

Traditional courses (daytime, 17-wks) are not ideal for someone who is working. Some of the community college attendance accounting rules and apportionment inhibit creativity and flexibility. The Working Learner Workgroup is looking at revising Title 5 regulations on attendance counting to streamline the various types of attendance methods. (Attendance accounting is a function of Scheduling, not curriculum. We do not have to change our course outline of record.)

V. Report from Curriculum Committee Chair, Sarah Barsness Sarah sent the committee the 2022-2023 Curriculum Committee Goals and Self-Assessment and would like to review them at an upcoming meeting.

She will reach out to Math and Science and Social and Behavioral Science for additional committee representation.

VI. Other There were no items under this section.

VII. Open Discussion There were no items under this section.

VIII. Adjournment Erin Duane moved to adjourn the meeting. Jose Cortes seconded. Meeting

adjourned at 2:57PM.

ALL MEETINGS WILL BE HELD STARTING AT 1:00 PM IN-PERSON OR ON ZOOM UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE TO MEET IN-PERSON.

**Under the Bagley-Keene Act, a body does not have to listen to comments on matters that are not on the agenda or that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 11125.7(a).

Under the Brown Act, the public is entitled to comment on any matter within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body, as well as any agenda item. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a). See Galbiso v. Orosi Pub. Util. Dist., 167 Cal. App. 4th 1063, 1080, 84 Cal. Rptr. 3d 788 (2008). Under the Brown Act, the legislative body does not have to listen to comments on items that are not within its subject matter jurisdiction. 78 Ops. Cal. Att'y Gen. 224 (1995). And, as under the Bagley-Keene Act, a legislative body under the Brown Act does not have to listen to comments on items that were already considered in a public meeting where there was an opportunity to comment. Cal. Gov't Code § 54954.3(a).

An agency may limit public comment on items described in the agenda to the time when those items are being considered. Olson v. Hornbrook Cmty. Servs. Dist., 33 Cal. App. 5th 502, 528, 245 Cal. Rptr. 3d 236 (March 26, 2019).

In addition, under both Acts, the body may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the above provisions are carried out, including but not limited to regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated to each individual speaker for public testimony or comment on particular issues. Cal. Gov't Code §§ 11125.7(b) (Bagley-Keene Act); 54954.3(b) (Brown Act). A legislative body of a local agency may regulate the time, place and manner for speech to ensure orderly discussion. Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 936 F. Supp. 719 (C.D. Cal. 1996) (Brown Act); see, e.g., Ribakoff v. City of Long Beach, 27 Cal. App. 5th 150, 177, 238 Cal. Rptr. 3d 81 (2018) (rejecting plaintiff's First Amendment facial and as applied challenge to board's rule limiting public comment to three minutes); Olson, 33 Cal. App. 5th at 528 (holding limitation on public comment on agenda items to when those items are being considered was not an unreasonable regulation).