## Professional Development Funding Requests Evaluation Rubric

## Faculty Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Description	0 Point	1 Point	2 Points	Tota
Proposal meets one or more of the	Meets none of the	Meets at least one	Meets more than one	
Chancellor's office authorized uses for	authorized uses.	authorized uses.	authorized uses.	
PD funds				
Detailed description on how the	Applicant provided little	Applicant provided some	Applicant provided	
proposed activity will support at least	evidence this request	evidence this request	detailed evidence this	
one of the SCC strategic objectives.	meets one of the SCC	meets one of the SCC	request meets one of the	
	objectives to support	objectives to support	SCC objectives to support	
	approval of funds.	approval of funds.	approval of funds.	
Must have scored at least 3 points total	between the first two items a		nue with evaluation.	
PDFC Committee identified the activity	NO		YES	
as professional development priority				
Must have scored 2 points total betwee	n the first two items a YES on	the third item to continue w	ith evaluation.	
Itemized costs clearly documented	Costs are not clearly or	Costs included but at	Cost correctly completed	
,	completely documented	least one item requires	all required forms and	
		follow-up	itemized costs	
Brochure included with all relative	No relevant	Brief description of	Complete/clear	
information for proposed activity	documentation provided	activity provided but	description of the activity	
		missing detailed clarity	provided with details	
Previously approved PD funding	Applicant already	Applicant has received	Applicant has not	
	received funding in this	funding in this fiscal year	received funding in this	
	fiscal year	but has substantial need	fiscal year	
	Amount:	for this activity	<i>j</i>	
Event is offered by other means	Applicant opportunities	Applicant demonstrated	Applicant demonstrated	
	to complete this PD	the need to travel for	that this is the best	
	activity at a lesser cost	activity even if offered in	option available	
	activity at a resser cost	a less expensive format	option available	
Collaboration	Activity benefits the	Activity benefits the	Activity provides campus	
	individual	individual plus student	wide benefits	
	mannaun	and/or others at SCC	while Denegres	
Popus points (2) applicant has n	recented providus PD for	,	Tatal Daintar	
Bonus points (2)- applicant has presented previous PD funded activity to			Total Points:	
others on campus				
coring:				

7-8 points = Additional information required for approval

0-6 points = Denied

Grant [] Approved [ ] Denied	Date approved/denied:	Grant number: 13F17
Amount approved:	Additional information required (specify):	
Date applicant notified	If denied, reason for denial:	

Professional Development Coordinator

Out-of-State Travel: [ ] NA [ ] Approved [ ] Denied

Superintendent/President

Date

Date