Does the Brown Act Apply to Local Academic Senates?





Subcommittees

The Brown Act also applies to meetings of all:

- Standing committees a committee that has continuing jurisdiction over a particular topic §54952(b) For example: Budgets, personnel, etc.
- Advisory committees that include a majority of the body and are not standing committees
- Advisory committees that are standing committees (regardless of the size and membership)

Exception: The Brown Act does not apply to a subcommittee that is made up of less than a majority of the body, is an advisory committee AND is not a standing committee





Serial Meetings

- Serial meetings are not allowed
- Serial meetings occur when a majority of the members have communicated about an issue and have developed a collective concurrence.
- A collective concurrence is developed when:
 - Members have either directly or indirectly heard each other's opinion on a topic enough to collectively develop or begin to develop an agreement on an issue.



Types of Serial Meetings



A daisy chain meeting:

Example: When Senator Bob calls Senator Bill to talk about a resolution then Bill calls Senator John to talk about it and finally John calls Senator Fred, etc., until a majority of senators has been contacted. A majority of the senators have talked about the topic and a collective concurrence has been established.

Types of Serial Meetings

Hub and spoke meeting:

Senator John and discusses a senate issue to get his opinion, then she calls Senator Robert, then calls Senator Bill, and then calls Senator Tim telling each what the other has said, eventually a majority of the senate may have indirectly discussed the topic without public notice and is therefore in violation of the Brown Act.



E-mail

§5492.2(b):

Except as authorized pursuant to §54953, any use of direct communication, personal intermediaries, or **technological devices** that is employed by a majority of the members of the legislative body to develop a collective concurrence as to action to be taken on an item by the members of the legislative body is prohibited

The attorney general has issued an opinion stating that this section of the act applies to email.





The Attorney General's Opinion Regarding E-mail

- In 2001 the CA Attorney General issued an opinion regarding the use of email. (Opinion #00-906, 2001)
- The opinion concluded: A majority of the board members of a local public agency may not e-mail each other to develop a collective concurrence as to action to be taken by the board without violating the Ralph M. Brown Act.
- Even if the emails are made public they would still be a violation of the Act because the board would be depriving the pubic of the deliberative process.
- The opinion also states, "The term 'deliberation' has been broadly construed to connote 'not only collective discussion, but the collective acquisition and exchange of facts preliminary to the ultimate decision.' [Citation.]" (Rowen v. Santa Clara Unified School Dist. (1981) 121 Cal.App.3d 231, 234; see Roberts v. City of Palmdale, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 376.)
- You can find the opinion at: http://ag.ca.gov/opinions/published/00-906.pdf





Agendas

- o Include meeting time and location, including address
- Post agenda in a 24/7 publicly accessible area 72 hours before meeting (physically and virtually)
- Special meetings require 24 hours notice and are limited to agenda items
- Senates do <u>not</u> call emergency meetings (which do not require 24 hour notice) – public safety is not under senate jurisdiction!
- Allow for public comments before or during discussion of agenda items
- Include all action items on the agenda, with a brief description
- Can change order of agenda



- Exception: Action may be taken on a non-agenda item, BUT this requires:
 - That the need for immediate action was discovered <u>after</u> the agenda was posted, and
 - A vote of 2/3 of members present if more than 2/3 of the total membership are present, or a unanimous vote if less than 2/3 of the total members are present.